

Answer Keys for Assignment 2 (based on the version provided by Paea LePendu)
Database Processing CIS 451/551

1.

a) update works set salary = salary * 1.04
where name in (select distinct(manager_name) from manages)
and salary >= 10,000

update works set salary = salary * 1.05
where name in (select distinct(manager_name) from manages)
and salary < 10,000

update works set salary = salary * 1.02
where name NOT in
(select distinct(manager_name) from manages
where manager_name is not null) *EXTRA CREDIT if add this line

b) create view startup_managers as
select employee_name
from works, manages
where works.employee_name = manages.manager_name
and works.company_name = 'Startup Corp'
and salary >= 50,000

delete from employee
where employee_name in startup_managers

delete from works
where employee_name in startup_managers

delete from manages
where employee_name in startup_managers

EXTRA CREDIT: clean up foreign key dependencies in manages
(students can cascade delete, or otherwise deal with it in their own way)

2. create view all_workers(name) as
select name from salaried_worker
union
select name from hourly_worker

Updates shouldn't be allowed through this view because of the union. Two tables may share same names. Updates on this view are problematic – which underlying table should you update the name into? Also, there will necessarily be null values no matter which table you chose, which we like to avoid.

3. a) select E.name
 from A.employee as E
 union
 select W.name
 from B.all_workers as W * this assumes the view in #2 otherwise elaborate
- b) select E.name
 from A.employee as E
 where E.name not in
 (select W.name from B.all_workers as W
 where W.name is not null) * EXTRA CREDIT if add this line

4. Some comparisons of different approaches to do multi-valued foreign key dependencies. Any of these or some different but meaningful comparison is fine for grading.

<i>Solution 1</i>	<i>Solution 2</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ can short-circuit evaluate (stop once true) ~ fast if the evaluation favors the “better” relation first ~ no extra space needed ~ on-the-fly evaluation needed ~ can be time consuming if we have to sequentially search both relations for key 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~ implementation is transparent ~ view can be materialized or on-the-fly ~ materialized takes space ~ indexed view can be speedy ~ on-the-fly view does not necessarily allow short-circuit evaluation ~ modular, clean, clear, reusable

5. a) company(fame, minit, lname, ssn, bdate, address, sex, salary, superssn, dno)
 b) 0 (zero) records
 c) * Asterisk means they are a manager.
 John Smith
 * Franklin Wong
 Alicia Zelaya
 * Jennifer Wallace
 Ramesh Narayan
 Joyce English
 Ahmad Jabbar
 * James Borg
- d)
 John Smith
 Alicia Zelaya
 Ramesh Narayan
 Joyce English
 Ahmad Jabbar
- e) James Borg is the problem, his superssn is null. add: where superssn is not null
- f) unknown (false is 1/2 points)

6. select r1.x, r2.y, r2.z, 3
 from R as r1, R as r2, R as r3
 where r1.x = r3.z AND
 r1.y = r2.x AND
 r1.z = r2.y AND
 r1.y = r3.y AND
 r2.z = r3.y*1.05 AND
 r3.x = 3