Koala

A case study in component-oriented, product line software architecture

"The Koala Component Model," van Ommering et al, IEEE Computer 2000 "Building Product Populations," van Ommering, ICSE 2002

Why read these papers?

- General issues: software reuse, software architectural design, components
- Particular approaches: architecture design language, automation of integration and parameterization
- A peek at the research side of software engineering

CE Problem & Opportunity

- Challenge:
 - Rapid development (market pressure)
 - Changing hardware environment
 - Cost constraint
- Opportunity:
 - Commonality across products
 - Reusable components
 - not quite the same thing: application domain vs. technical infrastructure

Exploiting Commonality

- General theme: Find what is the same, factor it out, reuse it
 - Includes design and methods as well as code (e.g., design patterns)
- Reusable pieces (code) require parameterization
 - A fixed part with variable parameters
 - Key question: What can we parameterize?



Framework vs Component

- Framework: common structure, parameterize by "filling in"
 - Easy to fill in; hard to evolve structure
- Components: encapsulated, documented interfaces
 - "downward" as well as "upward" interfaces; note similarity to framework "hooks" or "slots"
 - Less given than frameworks, but easier to evolve

Provides & Requires

- Provides: upward interface
 - You're used to this: class & method signatures, etc. ; extend naturally to larger constructs
- Requires: downward interface
 - Contrast to explicit naming in Java, C++, etc
 - You can simulate (clumsily) with interfaces and abstract classes

Binding Time

- In programming languages:
 - Run time (dynamic), compile time (static)
 - e.g., "static type" does not change during execution, "dynamic dispatch" is call through object reference
- In software engineering
 - Same concept, extend to various points in design
 - Same trade-offs: flexibility vs. performance, dependability

Binding in Koala

- Separate "wiring" from components
 - $\ Explicit \ "downward" \ interfaces$
 - Defer binding of calls
- Configuration-time binding
 - $-\ensuremath{\operatorname{More}}$ flexible than component design time
 - as in conventional programming language constructs
 - Cheaper than run-time binding
 - as in COM, Corba, et al