## Diamonds



A diamond's overall worth is determined by its mass in carats as well as its overall clarity. A large diamond with many imperfections is not worth as much as a smaller, flawless diamond. The overall clarity of a diamond can be described on a scale from $0.0-10.0$ adopted by the American Gem Society, where 0.0 represents a flawless diamond and 10.0 represents an imperfect diamond.

Given a sequence of $N$ diamonds, each with weight, $w_{i}$, in carats and clarity, $c_{i}$, on the scale described above, find the longest subsequence of diamonds for which the weight and clarity are both becoming strictly more favorable to a buyer.

## Example

In the following sequence of diamonds,

| $w_{i}$ | $c_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | 9.0 |
| 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 2.5 | 6.0 |
| 3.0 | 5.0 |
| 4.0 | 2.0 |
| 10.0 | 5.5 |

the longest desirable subsequence is
$1.5 \quad 9.0$
$2.5 \quad 6.0$
$3.0 \quad 5.0$
$4.0 \quad 2.0$
because the weights strictly increase while the clarities strictly decrease.

## Input

Input begins with a line with a single integer $T, 1 \leq T \leq 100$, indicating the number of test cases. Each test case begins with a line with a single integer $N, 1 \leq N \leq 200$, indicating the number of diamonds. Next follow $N$ lines with 2 real numbers $w_{i}$ and $c_{i}, 0.0 \leq w_{i}, c_{i} \leq 10.0$, indicating the weight in carats and the clarity of diamond i, respectively.

## Output

For each test case, output a single line with the length of the longest desirable subsequence of diamonds.

| Sample Input | Sample Output |
| :--- | :---: |
| 3 |  |
| 2 |  |
| 1.01 .0 | 2 |
| 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 3 |  |
| 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 6 |  |
| 1.5 | 9.0 |
| 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 2.5 | 6.0 |
| 3.0 | 5.0 |
| 4.0 | 2.0 |
| 10.0 | 5.5 |

