CIS 472/572: Machine Learning Averaged Perceptron Note

Instructor: Thien Huu Nguyen

Algorithm 1 AveragedPerceptronTrain(D, MaxIter)

1: function AVERAGEDPERCEPTRONTRAIN(D, MaxIter) $w \leftarrow (0, 0, \ldots, 0), b \leftarrow 0$ 2: $u \leftarrow (0, 0, \ldots, 0), \beta \leftarrow 0$ 3: $c \leftarrow 1$ 4: for *iter* \leftarrow 1 to *MaxIter* do 5:for $(x, y) \in D$ do 6: 7: if $y(wx+b) \leq 0$ then $w \leftarrow w + yx$ 8: $b \leftarrow b + y$ 9: $u \leftarrow u + ycx$ 10: $\beta \leftarrow \beta + yc$ 11: end if 12: $c \leftarrow c + 1$ 13:end for 14:end for 15:return $w - \frac{1}{c}u, b - \frac{1}{c}\beta$ 16:17: end function

Remember our learning procedure for averaged perceptron (shown in Algorithm 1).

Note that in this procedure, our scan over the training data with different epochs naturally defines a sequence of the training data examples. We will call it the data sequence and denote it as $T = (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_N, y_N)$ be this data sequence for simplicity. Here N is the number of the examples in the data sequence and basically $N = |D| \times MaxIter$ (|D| is the number of examples in our training data D).

Also, remember the prediction rule for averaged perceptron:

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{sign}\left((\sum_{k=1}^{K} c^{(k)} w^{(k)}) \cdot \hat{x} + (\sum_{k=1}^{K} c^{(k)} b^{(k)}) \right)$$

In averaged perceptron, we compute a weighted sum S of the weight vectors $w^{(k)}$ that we encounter during the our scan over the data sequence T (we only talk about the weight vectors here, but the argument extent naturally to the bias). The weight for each weight vector $w^{(k)}$ in the weighted sum S is based on the survival time $c^{(k)}$ of that weight vector in the data sequence (i.e., c^k is the ratio (over the entire sequence T) of the examples encountered right after w^k is produced and before w^k is replaced by w^{k+1} – the examples correctly predicted by $w^{(k)}$):

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{K} c^{(k)} w^{(k)}$$
(1)

The goal of this note is to show that the Algorithm 1 is actually computing S (i.e., the returned value of $w - \frac{1}{c}u$ is equal to S).

<u>Proof Sketch</u>:

First, the K variable in \hat{y} and S implies that we have K weight vectors along the scan over the data sequence. Note that based on the training procedure, we will only produce a new weight vector at an example in the sequence when the current weight vector cannot correctly classify that example. For convenience, let i_1, \ldots, i_K be the indexes of the examples in the data sequence for which we need to compute a new vector weight. Basically, we have $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_K \leq N$ and the weight vector produced at the example indexed at i_k (i.e., the example (x_{i_k}, y_{i_k})) is $w^{(k)}$ (due to the misclassification of w^{k-1} for x_{i_k}) (for all $1 \leq k \leq K$). Also, let $i_0 = 0$, $i_{K+1} = N$ and $w^0 = 0$ for convenience.

With these notations, the survival time $c^{(k)}$ for $w^{(k)}$ can be computed by (i.e., the portions of examples between $w^{(k)}$ and $w^{(k+1)}$ over the entire sequence T):

$$c^{(k)} = \frac{i_{k+1} - i_k}{N} \quad \forall 1 \le k \le K \tag{2}$$

Also, based on the update rule of the training procedure, we can write $w^{(k)}$ as:

$$w^{(k)} = w^{(k-1)} + y_{i_k} x_{i_k} \ \forall 1 \le k \le K$$
(3)

By extending this equation, we have:

$$w^{(k)} = w^{(k-1)} + y_{i_k} x_{i_k} = w^{(k-2)} + y_{i_{k-1}} x_{i_{k-1}} + y_{i_k} x_{i_k} = \dots = w^{(0)} + y_{i_1} x_{i_1} + \dots + y_{i_k} x_{i_k}$$
(4)

In other words, we have $(w^{(0)} = 0)$:

$$w^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_{i_j} x_{i_j} \ \forall 1 \le k \le K$$
(5)

Now, plugging Equations 2 and 5 to Equation 1, we obtain:

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{i_{k+1} - i_k}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_{i_j} x_{i_j}$$
(6)

Among the terms over k of S (i.e., $\frac{i_{k+1}-i_k}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}y_{i_j}x_{i_j}$), we note that $y_{i_j}x_{i_j}$ only appears in the terms where $k \ge j$. Also, there are K possible terms of the type $y_{i_j}x_{i_j}$ with j ranging

from 1 to K in S. Consequently, by grouping the terms of the $y_{i_j} x_{i_j}$ together, we can rewrite S as follow:

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} x_{i_j} \sum_{k=j}^{K} \frac{i_{k+1} - i_k}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} x_{i_j} \sum_{k=j}^{K} (i_{k+1} - i_k)$$
(7)

Due to the cancellation, we have: $\sum_{k=j}^{K} (i_{k+1} - i_k) = i_{K+1} - i_j = N - i_j$, leading to:

$$S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} x_{i_j} (N - i_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} x_{i_j} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} i_j x_{i_j}$$
(8)

Now, consider the training procedure in Algorithm 1 again. We can see that the final value of the variable w would involve an accumulation of the quantities $y_t x_t$ where t is the index of one of the examples in T for which we need to compute a new value or update the value for w (i.e., $t \in \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_K\}$). In other words, the final value for w is:

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} x_{i_j} \tag{9}$$

Similarly, the final value of the variable u would accumulate the quantities $y_t tx_t$ for $t \in \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_K\}$ as the counter variable c is essentially the index of the current example in T. Thus, the final value of u is:

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} i_j x_{i_j}$$
(10)

and the final value of c is c = N.

Consequently, combining everything, the returned (or final) value for $w - \frac{1}{c}u$ is:

$$w - \frac{1}{c}u = \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} x_{i_j} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} y_{i_j} i_j x_{i_j}$$
(11)

This is exactly the value for S we show above and completes the proof.