

Gödel's T

$$M ::= x \mid \varepsilon \mid s(M) \mid \lambda x:A. M \mid MN \mid \text{rec}(M; M_0; x, y. M_1) \mid \text{tt} \mid \text{ff}$$

$$A ::= \omega \mid A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \mid \mathbb{Z}$$

Statics $\Gamma \vdash M : A$.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \omega \quad \Gamma \vdash M_0 : A \quad \Gamma, x:\omega, y:A \vdash M_1 : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{rec}(M; M_0; x, y. M_1) : A}$$

etc.

$$\left[\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{tt} : \mathbb{Z}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ff} : \mathbb{Z}} \right]$$

Dynamics

$$(\lambda x:A. M) N \mapsto [N/x]M. \quad (\text{cbn})$$

$$\text{rec}(\varepsilon; M_0; x, y. M_1) \mapsto M_0$$

$$\text{rec}(s(M); M_0; x, y. M_1) \mapsto [M, \text{rec}(M; M_0; x, y. M_1)]M_1$$

$$\frac{M_1 \mapsto M'_1}{M_1 M_2 \mapsto M'_1 M_2} \quad (\text{cbn}) \quad \left[\frac{M_1 \text{ value } M_2 \mapsto M'_2}{M_1 M_2 \mapsto M_1 M'_2} \right] \quad (\text{cbr})$$

$$\frac{M \mapsto M'}{\text{rec}(M; -) \mapsto \text{rec}(M'; -)}$$

$$\frac{M \mapsto M'}{s(M) \mapsto s(M')} \quad (\text{eager}) \quad (\text{vs lazy})$$

If we use lazy evaluation for successor, we add 2 as an observable outcome.

With an eager evaluation for successor, we can use ω as an observable (or else observe only outermost form).

Can do cbv as well, but we'll use cbn for simplicity.

(eager) Then If $M : \omega$ then $\exists n$ st $M \mapsto^* \overline{n}$

(lazy) If $M : 2$, then $M \mapsto^* \begin{cases} tt \\ ff \end{cases}$

Tait's Method : how to prove this ?

Could consolidate and just say

Then If $M : A$ then $\exists N$ st N value
 $M \mapsto^* N$

where $\overline{\lambda x : A. M \text{ value}}$ is stn $\frac{[M \text{ value}]}{s(x) \text{ value}}$
 $\overline{tt \text{ value}}$ $\overline{ff \text{ value}}$.

Reducibility

- + $\text{Red}_\omega(M)$ iff $M \mapsto^* \varepsilon$ or
 $M \mapsto^* s(M')$ and $\text{Red}_\omega(M')$
- $\text{Red}_{A_1 \rightarrow A_2}(M)$ iff $\text{Red}_{A_1}(M)$ implies $\text{Red}_{A_2}(MM_1)$

1. Red_ω is the strongest $\mathcal{P}$ closed under the given conditions.

$\text{Red}_\omega(M)$ iff $\forall \mathcal{P} \text{ closed } \mathcal{P}(M)$.

where $\mathcal{P}$ closed means

a) $M \mapsto^* \varepsilon$ implies $\mathcal{P}(M)$

b) $M \mapsto^* s(M')$ and $\mathcal{P}(M')$ implies $\mathcal{P}(M)$

So: TS $\text{Red}_\omega(M)$ implies $\mathcal{P}(M)$

STS $\mathcal{P}$ closed.

2. $\text{Red}_{A_1 \rightarrow A_2} = \text{Red}_{A_1} \rightarrow \text{Red}_{A_2}$

where $(\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q})(M)$ iff $\mathcal{P}(M)$ implies $\mathcal{Q}(MM)$

"relational action" of $\rightarrow$.

lemma

1) $\text{Red}_\omega(M)$ preserves $M \Downarrow$.

2) $\text{Red}_A(N) \quad M \mapsto N \quad \text{imply} \quad \text{Red}_A(M)$

Pf 1) immediate

2) induction on A .

⊗

Thm If $\Gamma \vdash M : A$ and $\text{Red}_\Gamma(n)$
then $\text{Red}_A(\hat{\pi}(M))$.

Pf induction on typing.

Notation: $\hat{M}$ for $\hat{\pi}(M)$ when n is clear.

1)
$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x:A \vdash x:A}$$

amounts to $\text{Red}_A(M)$ preserves $\text{Red}_A(M)$.

2)
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \omega : \omega}$$

$\text{Red}_\omega(\omega) \checkmark$

3)
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \omega}{\Gamma \vdash s(M) : \omega}$$

Ind: $\text{Red}_\omega(\hat{M})$

TS: $\text{Red}_\omega(s(\hat{M}))$

immediate from defn ~~etc~~

~~all (Euler)~~

~~4/1/2~~

$$3) \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \omega \quad \Gamma \vdash M_0 : A \quad \Gamma x:\omega, y:A \vdash M_1 : A}{\Gamma \vdash \underline{rec}(M; M_0; x, y. M_1) : A}$$

$$IH_1: Red_{\omega}(\hat{M})$$

$$IH_2: Red_A(\hat{M}_0)$$

$$IH_3: Red_{\omega}(N) \text{ and } Red_A(P) \text{ imply } Red_A([N, P/x, y]\hat{M}_1).$$

$$TS: Red_A(\underline{rec}(\hat{M}; \hat{M}_0; x, y. \hat{M}_1)).$$

Let $P(-) := Red_A(\underline{rec}(-; \hat{M}_0; x, y. \hat{M}_1))$ and $\underline{Red}_{\omega}(-)$

STS $P(*)$ closed. (for then $P(\hat{M})$ as req'd)

$$a) \text{ spec } N \mapsto * \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\underline{red}(N; \hat{M}_0; x, y. \hat{M}_1) \mapsto * \hat{M}_0.$$

Head expansion.

$$b) \text{ spec } N \mapsto * s(N') \text{ w/ } P(N').$$

$$\underline{red}(N; \hat{M}_0; x, y. \hat{M}_1) \mapsto * [N', \underline{red}(N'; -), x, y] \hat{M}_1$$

$$\text{know } Red_{\omega}(N') \quad Red_A(\underline{rec}(N'; -))$$

$$\text{By } IH_3 \quad Red_A(\underline{rec}(N; \hat{M}_0; x, y. \hat{M}_1)) \quad \checkmark.$$

$$4) \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B}$$

$$IH_1: Red_{A \rightarrow B}(\hat{M})$$

$$IH_2: Red_A(\hat{N})$$

$$\therefore Red_A(\hat{M}\hat{N}) \\ \quad \quad \quad \hookrightarrow \hat{MN}.$$

$$5) \frac{\Gamma x:A \vdash M:B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. M : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$IH: Red_A(N) \text{ implies } Red_B([N/x]\hat{M})$$

$$TS: Red_{A \rightarrow B}(\lambda x:A. M)$$

$$\text{spec } Red_A(N) \text{ then } Red_B([N/x]\hat{M})$$

by weak expansion result follows.

⊗

Extend $\vdash$ w/ a type 2 with values ~~tt~~ and ~~ff~~ .
 $\rightarrow \text{Red}_2(M) \text{ iff } M \mapsto^* \text{tt} \text{ or } M \mapsto^* \text{ff}.$

(Kleene)
(giving)

$P \approx Q$ iff $P \mapsto^* \text{tt} \rightarrow Q \mapsto^* \text{tt}$
 or $P \mapsto^* \text{ff} \rightarrow Q \mapsto^* \text{ff}$

contextual
equiv.

$\Gamma \vdash M \approx N : A$ iff
 $\forall C[-] \text{ st } C[M], C[N] : 2$
 $C[M] \approx C[N].$

Fact $\Gamma \vdash M \approx N : A$ is the COARSEST
CONSISTENT CONGRUENCE.

1) CONSISTENT: ~~UNIVERSAL~~. $M \approx N : 2$ implies $M \approx N$.
 immediate, take $C[_] = [_]$.

2) CONGRUENCE:

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M \approx N : A \quad C[-] : \Delta \leadsto \Gamma}{\Delta \vdash C[M] \approx C[N] : A.}$

Follow from closure of contexts under
 composition.

3) W2TEST :

if $\Gamma \vdash M \equiv N : A$ is a consistent
congruence then

$$\Gamma \vdash M \equiv N : A \supset \Gamma \vdash M \cong N : A.$$

$$C[M] \equiv C[N] : 2$$

$$\therefore C[M] \cong C[N].$$

$$\therefore \Gamma \vdash M \cong N : A.$$

④

coinduction principle.

$$TS : \Gamma \vdash M \cong N : A$$

STS : $\Gamma \vdash M \equiv N : A$ for some
consistent congruence.

Logical Equivalence (= Binary Reducibility)

We will define $M \sim_z N$ on closed terms $M, N : \tau$ s.t.

- 1) if $M : \tau$ then $M \sim_z M$.
- 2) if $M \sim_z N$ then $M \equiv_z N$.

Def By ind on τ :

$$M \sim_z N \text{ iff } M \equiv N \quad (M \mapsto^* H \text{ iff } M \mapsto^* H)$$

$$M \sim_w N \text{ iff } (M \mapsto^*_{\tau} \perp \vee N \mapsto^*_{\tau} \perp) \\ \text{or } (M \mapsto^*_S (M') \text{ and } N \mapsto^*_S (N')) \\ \text{and } M' \sim_w N')$$

$$M \sim_{\tau \rightarrow \tau} N \text{ iff } M_1 \sim_{\tau} N_1 \supset M M_1 \sim_{\tau} N N_1$$

Note $M \sim_w N$ is the strongest such relation!

~~$$\text{Def } \vdash M \sim N : A \text{ iff}$$~~

$$x_1 : A_1 \dots x_k : A_k$$

Def $\vdash M \sim N : A$ iff

$$M_1 \sim_{A_1} N_1 \dots M_k \sim_{A_k} N_k \text{ imply} \\ [M_i/x_i] M \sim_A [N_i/x_i] N.$$

We will show (sketch) that open logical equivalence is a consistent congruence.

$\therefore \Gamma \vdash M \sim N : A$ implies $\Gamma \vdash M \equiv N : A$.

So logical equivalence will be a necessary condition for equality.

Conversely, if $\Gamma \vdash M \equiv N : A$ then $\Gamma \vdash M \sim N : A$.

This amounts to showing that log equiv can be thought of as building a Pgm context.

Fact open logical equiv is consistent
 $\rightarrow$ immediate!

~~scribble~~