

Inductive Types

Part two :
Advanced features

Benjamin Werner
INRIA–Rocquencourt

Proofs-as-Programs Summer School
Eugene, Oregon, june 27th 2002

Principle : each constructor describes one possible **canonical form** of the inhabitants of the inductive type.

Example :

Inductive and [A,B:Prop] := conj : A->B->(and A B
a canonical c : (or A B) is of the form
c=(conj a b) with a :A and b :B.

Example 2 :

Inductive or [A,B:Prop] :=

 or_introl : A->(or A B)

 | or_intror : B->(or A B).

two possible canonical forms :

(or_introl a) with a :A

(or_intror b) with b :B

Example 3 (with dependent types) :

```
Inductive ex [A : Set; P : A->Prop] : Prop :=  
  ex_intro : (x:A)(P x)->(ex A P).
```

one possible canonical form/proof :

```
(ex_intro a p)
```

with a :A and p :(P a).

Inductive predicates

The smallest set :

- containing 0
- closed by $x \mapsto x + 2$

Inductive even : nat \rightarrow Prop :=

E0 : (even 0)
| ES : (n:nat)(even n) \rightarrow (even (S (S n))).

\Rightarrow associated induction principle

If $(P \ 0)$ and $(P \ n) \rightarrow (P \ (S(S \ n)))$ then
 $(P \ n)$ holds for every even number n .

even_ind

```
: (P:(nat->Prop))  
  (P 0)  
  ->((n:nat)(even n)->(P n)->(P (S (S n))))  
  ->(n:nat)(even n)->(P n)
```

Defining ‘less or equal’

Given n , we define inductively the set of numbers greater or equal to n :

- it contains n
- it is closed under successor

```
Inductive le [n : nat]    : nat->Prop :=  
  le_n : (le n n)  
  | le_S : (m:nat)(le n m)->(le n (S m)).
```

Notice the use of parameter.

canonical proofs

less-or-equal induction principle

If $(P\ n)$ and P is closed for the successor,
then P is true for all number
greater-or-equal to n

le_ind

```
: (n:nat; P:(nat->Prop))  
  (P n)  
  ->((m:nat)(le n m)->(P m)->(P (S m)))  
  ->(x:nat)(le n x)->(P x)
```

Reminder : lists of numbers

```
Inductive list : Set :=  
  nil : list  
  | cons : nat->list->list.
```

Inductive predicates as Prolog specs

List concatenation specified as a ternary predicate : (concat 11 12 13) iff $13 = 11 @ 12$

Inductive concat :

```
con_nil : (l2:list)(concat nil l2 l2)
| con_cons :
  (l1,l2,l3:list)(concat l1 l2 l3)->
  (a:nat)
    (concat (cons a l1) l2 (cons a l3)).
```

Prolog program with type discipline

Compiling prolog

The ML program :

Given 11 and 12 it computes 13 such that
(concat 11 12 13)

We can state the prolog program always succeeds :

(11,12:list)(EX 13 | (concat 11 12 13))

We can prove this statement :

p_con : (11,12:list)(EX 13 | (concat 11 12 13))

Compiling prolog - 2

p_con : (l1,l2:list)(EX 13 | (concat l1 12 13))

The term p_con :

- takes l1 and l2 as arguments
- returns a list 13 together with a proof
that (concat l1 12 13).

so p_con is a **compiled version** of the
concat prolog program (in “ML”).

With program extraction, we can refine to
p_con':list->list->list.

Types Defined by Recursion

Proving $0 \neq 1$

True propositions, false propositions

A trivial true proposition :

Inductive True : Prop := I : True.

An obviously false proposition :

Definition False := (P:Prop)P.

Attention ! True and False are **not** true and false.

They are propositions and not booleans !

Proving $0 \neq 1$

$0 \neq 1$ stands for $0 = 1 \rightarrow \text{False}$

$\text{False} : \text{Prop}$ is : $(P:\text{Prop})\text{False} \rightarrow P$

$0 = (S \ 0)$ stands for :

$(P:\text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Prop})(P \ 0) \rightarrow (P \ (S \ 0))$

Proving $0 \neq 1$ is finding $P:\text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ such that :

- $(P \ 0)$ is provable
- $(P \ (S \ 0))$ implies False .

How to define P ?

Solution : allowing the definition of propositions / types by case analysis.

Definition P : nat->Prop :=

[n:nat]Cases n of

 0 => True

 | (S p) => False

end.

This is possible by relaxing the typing rule for case analysis : we allow **types** to appear on the right.

We now have the reductions :

$$(P \ 0) \triangleright \text{True} \quad \text{thus } (P \ 0) \leftrightarrow \text{True}$$

$$(P \ (S \ 0)) \triangleright \text{False} \quad \text{thus } (P \ (S \ 0)) \leftrightarrow \text{False}$$

Therefore, if $0=(S \ 0)$, we have $\text{True} \rightarrow \text{False}$.

So $0=(S \ 0) \rightarrow \text{False}$.

The proof term is : $[e:0=(S \ 0)](e \ P \ I)$

indeed :

$$(e \ P) : (P \ 0) \rightarrow (P \ (S \ 0)) =_{\beta} \text{True} \rightarrow \text{False}$$

and $I : \text{True}$

Specifying and certifying a sorting program

```
Inductive list : Set :=  
    nil : list  
  | cons : nat->list->list.
```

We want to sort these lists in increasing order

sorted lists – Inductive version

```
Inductive low [a:nat] : list -> Prop :=  
  low_nil : (low a nil)  
 | low_cons : (l:list)(b:nat)(le a b)->  
           (low a (cons b l)).
```

```
Induction sorted : list -> Prop :=  
  sort_nil : (sorted nil)  
 | sort_cons : (l:list)(a:nat)(sorted l)->  
           (low a l)->(sorted (cons a l)).
```

Can we prove : (sorted (cons a l))->(sorted l) ?

sorted lists – stand-alone recursive version

```
Definition low' := [a:nat ; l : list]
```

Cases l of

 nil => True

 | (cons b _) => (le a b)

end.

```
Fixpoint sorted' [a:nat ; l:list] : Prop :=
```

Cases l of

 nil => True

 | (cons b m) => (low b m) /\ (sorted' m)

end.

List permutations

```
Inductive permut : list -> list -> Prop :=
  perm_refl : (l:list)(permut l l)
| perm_trans : (l1,l2,l3:list)(permut l1 l2)->
    (permut l2 l3) -> (permut l1 l3)
| perm_hd : (a,b:nat)(l:list)
    (permut (cons a (cons b l))
            (cons b (cons a l)))
| perm_tl : (a:nat)(l1,l2:list)(permut l1 l2)->
    (permut (cons a l1)(cons a l2))
```

specification of the sorting program

$$\forall l : \text{list} . \exists l' : \text{list} . \text{sorted}(l') \wedge \text{permute}(l, l')$$

$$(l:\text{list})(\exists l' : \text{list} \mid (\text{sorted } l') \wedge (\text{permute } l \ l'))$$

A (constructive) proof of this proposition contains the sorting program.

One proof for quicksort, one proof for heapsort, etc.

Program Extraction principle

(l:list)(EX l' : list | (sorted l')/\(permut l l')

Mark the computational content.

extraction of a program list->list