

Proofs as Programs Summer School  
Eugene Oregon June - July 2002

Type Systems  
Herman Geuvers  
Nijmegen University, NL

Lecture 2: Polymorphic  $\lambda$ -calculus

## Why Polymorphic $\lambda$ -calculus?

- Simple type theory  $\lambda \rightarrow$  is not very expressive
- In simple type theory, we can not ‘reuse’ a function.  
E.g.  $\lambda x:\alpha.x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\lambda x:\beta.x : \beta \rightarrow \beta$ .

We want to define functions that can treat types **polymorphically**:

add types  $\forall \alpha.\sigma$ :

Examples

- $\forall \alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$   
If  $M : \forall \alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ , then  $M$  can map any type to itself.
- $\forall \alpha.\forall \beta.\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$   
If  $M : \forall \alpha.\forall \beta.\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha$ , then  $M$  can take two inputs (of arbitrary types) and return a value of the first input type.

Derivation rules of  $\lambda 2$ , two styles:

1. Weak (ML-style) polymorphism:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma[\tau/\alpha]} \text{ for } \tau \text{ a } \lambda \rightarrow \text{-type}$$

2. Full (system F-style) polymorphism:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \alpha. M : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M \tau : \sigma[\tau/\alpha]} \text{ for } \tau \text{ any type}$$

NB: (1) is presented à la Curry, and (2) is presented à la Church  
(but that could be done otherwise).

Derivation rules of  $\lambda 2$ , two styles:

1. Weak (ML-style) polymorphism:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma[\tau/\alpha]} \text{ for } \tau \text{ a } \lambda \rightarrow \text{-type}$$

2. Full (system F-style) polymorphism:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \alpha. M : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M \tau : \sigma[\tau/\alpha]} \text{ for } \tau \text{ any type}$$

NB: (1) is presented à la Curry, and (2) is presented à la Church  
(but that could be done otherwise).

Examples valid in both (1) and (2):

- $\lambda 2$  à la Curry:  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x : \forall \alpha. \forall \beta. \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha.$
- $\lambda 2$  à la Church:  $\lambda \alpha. \lambda \beta. \lambda x: \alpha. \lambda y: \beta. x : \forall \alpha. \forall \beta. \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \alpha.$

Derivation rules of  $\lambda 2$ , two styles:

1. Weak (ML-style) polymorphism:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma[\tau/\alpha]} \text{ for } \tau \text{ a } \lambda \rightarrow \text{-type}$$

2. Full (system F-style) polymorphism:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \alpha. M : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M \tau : \sigma[\tau/\alpha]} \text{ for } \tau \text{ any type}$$

Examples valid only in (2):

- $\lambda 2$  à la Curry:  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x : (\forall \alpha. \alpha) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ .
- $\lambda 2$  à la Church:  $\lambda x : (\forall \alpha. \alpha). \lambda y : \sigma. x \tau : (\forall \alpha. \alpha) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ .

## Recall: Important Properties

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma ? \text{ TCP}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash M : ? \text{ TSP}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash ? : \sigma \text{ TIP}$$

## Properties of $\lambda 2$

- TIP is **undecidable**, TCP and TSP are equivalent.

TCP	à la Church	à la Curry
• ML-style	decidable	decidable
System F-style	decidable	undecidable

With **full polymorphism** (system F), **untyped terms** contain **too little information** to compute the type.x

**NB:** we will only consider **full** (system F-style)  $\lambda 2$  à la Church.

Formulas-as-types for  $\lambda 2$ :

There is a **formulas-as-types** isomorphism between  $\lambda 2$  and **second order proposition logic**, PROP2

**Derivation rules** of PROP2:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \forall \alpha. \sigma} \quad \alpha \notin \text{FV}(\Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma[\tau/\alpha]}$$

**NB** This is **constructive** second order proposition logic:

$$\forall \alpha. \forall \beta. ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{Peirce's law}$$

is **not derivable**.

Definability of the other connectives:

$$\perp := \forall \alpha. \alpha$$

$$\sigma \wedge \tau := \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\sigma \vee \tau := \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\exists \alpha. \sigma := \forall \beta. (\forall \alpha. \sigma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta$$

and all the standard constructive derivation rules are derivable.

Example ( $\wedge$ -elimination):

$$\frac{\frac{\forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha}{(\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \frac{[\sigma]^1}{\tau \rightarrow \sigma}}{\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma}^1$$

Definability of connectives and derivation rules:

$$\perp := \forall \alpha. \alpha$$

$$\sigma \wedge \tau := \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\sigma \vee \tau := \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\exists \alpha. \sigma := \forall \beta. (\forall \alpha. \sigma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta$$

Example ( $\wedge$ -elimination) with  $\lambda$ -terms:

$$\frac{\frac{M : \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha}{M\sigma : (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma} \quad \frac{[x : \sigma]^1}{\lambda y : \tau. x : \tau \rightarrow \sigma}}{\lambda x : \sigma. \lambda y : \tau. x : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma} \quad 1$$

$$M\sigma(\lambda x : \sigma. \lambda y : \tau. x) : \sigma$$

So the following term is a ‘witness’ for the  $\wedge$ -elimination.

$$\lambda z : \sigma \wedge \tau. z\sigma(\lambda x : \sigma. \lambda y : \tau. x) : (\sigma \wedge \tau) \rightarrow \sigma$$

## Data types in λ2

$$\text{Nat} := \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

This type can be used as the type of **natural numbers**, using the encoding of **IN** as **Church numerals** in the  $\lambda$ -calculus.

$$n \mapsto \lambda x. \lambda f. f(\dots(fx)) \text{ } n\text{-times } f$$

- $0 := \lambda \alpha. \lambda x: \alpha. \lambda f: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha. x$
- $S := \lambda n: \text{Nat}. \lambda \alpha. \lambda x: \alpha. \lambda f: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha. f(n \alpha x f)$
- **Iteration:** if  $c : \sigma$  and  $g : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ , then  $\text{It } c g : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \sigma$  is defined as

$$\lambda n: \text{Nat}. n \sigma c g$$

Then

$$\text{It } c g n = g(\dots(gc)) \text{ } (n \text{ times } g)$$

Examples:

- Addition

$$\text{Plus} := \lambda n:\text{Nat}. \lambda m:\text{Nat}. \text{It } m S n$$

or  $\text{Plus} := \lambda n:\text{Nat}. \lambda m:\text{Nat}. n \text{ Nat } m S$

- Multiplication

$$\text{Mult} := \lambda n:\text{Nat}. \lambda m:\text{Nat}. \text{It } 0 (\lambda x:\text{Nat}. \text{Plus } m x) n$$

- Predecessor is **difficult!**

This requires defining **primitive recursion** in terms of **iteration**.

As a consequence:

$$\text{Pred}(n + 1) \rightarrow\!\!\!\rightarrow_{\beta} n$$

in a number of steps of  $O(n)$ .

## Data types in $\lambda 2$ ctd.

$$\text{List}_A := \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$$

represents the type of lists over the type  $A$ , using the following encoding of lists in the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus.

$$[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] \mapsto \lambda x. \lambda f. fa_1(fa_2(\dots(fa_n x))) \text{ } n\text{-times } f$$

- $\text{Nil} := \lambda \alpha. \lambda x: \alpha. \lambda f: A \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha. x$
- $\text{Cons} := \lambda a: A. \lambda l: \text{List}_A. \lambda \alpha. \lambda x: \alpha. \lambda f: A \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha. f a(l \alpha x f)$
- **Iteration:** if  $c : \sigma$  and  $g : A \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ , then  $\text{It } cg : \text{List}_A \rightarrow \sigma$  is defined as

$$\lambda l: \text{List}_A. l \sigma c g$$

Then, for  $l = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ ,

$$\text{It } cg l = g a_1(g a_2(\dots(g a_n c))) \text{ } (n \text{ times } g)$$

Example:

- Map, given  $f : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ ,  $\text{Map } f : \text{List}_\sigma \rightarrow \text{List}_\tau$  applies  $f$  to all elements in a list.

$$\text{Map} := \lambda f:\sigma \rightarrow \tau. \text{It Nil}(\lambda x:\sigma. \lambda l:\text{List}_\tau. \text{Cons}(f x)l).$$

Many **data-types** can be defined in  $\lambda 2$ :

- **Product** of two data-types:  $\sigma \times \tau := \forall x\alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$
- **Sum** of two data-types:  $\sigma + \tau := \forall \alpha. (\sigma \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\tau \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$
- **Unit type**:  $\text{Unit} := \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$
- **Binary trees with nodes in  $A$  and leaves in  $B$** :  
 $\text{Tree}_{A,B} := \forall \alpha. (B \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$

Properties of  $\lambda_2$ .

- Uniqueness of types

If  $\Gamma \vdash M : o$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ , then  $o = \tau$ .

- Subject Reduction

If  $\Gamma \vdash M : o$  and  $M \xrightarrow{\beta\eta} N$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash N : o$ .

- Strong Normalization

If  $\Gamma \vdash M : o$ , then all  $\beta\eta$ -reductions from  $M$  terminate.

$$\boxed{A a . \varrho} : \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket \vdash X \in \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket$$

How to define  $\llbracket A a . \varrho \rrbracket$  ??  
Question:

- $\llbracket \varrho \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket = \{ M : \varrho \rightarrow \tau \mid \text{AN} \in \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket (MN \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket) \}$
- $\llbracket a \rrbracket = \text{Term}(a) \cup \text{SN}$ .

Recall the proof for  $\lambda \rightarrow$ :

à la Curry

- The second doesn't do any harm, so we can just look at  $\lambda 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & - (\lambda a . M) \tau \xrightarrow{\beta} M[\tau/a] \\ & - (\lambda x : \varrho . M) P \xrightarrow{\beta} M[P/x] \end{aligned}$$

- There are two kinds of  $\beta$ -reductions

Note:

Strong Normalization of  $\beta$  for  $\lambda 2$ .

- Characterization of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

$$\bigcup_{X \in \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket} \mathcal{U}^X$$

- $\llbracket A \alpha . \varphi \rrbracket$  should be small  
Girard:

- $\prod X \in \mathcal{U}^{\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket} = X$  may get very (**too?**) big.

The collection of all '**possible**' interpretations of types (?)

- What is  $\mathcal{U}$ ?

$$\llbracket A \alpha . \varphi \rrbracket := \prod X \in \mathcal{U}^{\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket}$$

How to define  $\llbracket A \alpha . \varphi \rrbracket$  ??  
Question:

Strong Normalization of  $\beta$  for  $\lambda 2$ .

- $\llbracket \Lambda a.o \rrbracket^{\rho} = \cup_{X \in \text{SAT}[o]}^{d,a=X}$
- $\{( \llbracket o \rrbracket^{\rho} \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho} ) M N \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho} \} = \llbracket o \rrbracket^{\rho} \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}$
- $\llbracket a \rrbracket^{\rho} = d(a)$

Define the interpretation of types  $\llbracket o \rrbracket^{\rho}$  as follows.

Let  $\rho : \text{TVar} \rightarrow \text{SAT}$  be a valuation of type variables.

- If  $M[N/x] \in X$  and  $N \in \text{SN}$ , then  $(\lambda x.M)N \in X$ .

$\text{NS} \subseteq X$

$\text{Var} \subseteq X$

$X \subseteq V$  is saturated if  
 $\mathcal{U} := \text{SAT}$ , the collection of saturated sets of (untyped)  $\lambda$ -terms.

Proposition

for all valuations  $\rho$  and  $P_1 \in \llbracket T_1 \rrbracket^\rho, \dots, P_n \in \llbracket T_n \rrbracket^\rho$

$$\varrho \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket \models [u/x/P_1, \dots, u/x/P_n] \Leftarrow M[P_1/x/\dots/x_n] \vdash M : T_n$$

Proposition

$x_1 : T_1, \dots, x_n : T_n \vdash M : \varrho \Leftarrow M[P_1/x_1, \dots, P_n/x_n] \in \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket^{\vartheta}$  for all valuations  $\vartheta$  and  $P_i \in \llbracket T_i \rrbracket^{\vartheta}, \dots, P_n \in \llbracket T_n \rrbracket^{\vartheta}$

Corollary A2 is SN

(Proof: take  $P_1$  to be  $x_1, \dots, P_n$  to be  $x_n$ )