Rewriting and termination in lambda calculus

Silvia Ghilezan

University of Novi Sad Mathematical Institute SASA Serbia

Lecture 3

Oregon Programming Language Summer School Eugene, June 2022

Roadmap

- Rewriting basics
- Lambda calculus
- Confluence: Church-Rosser property, local confluence
- Normalisation: strong normalisation, normalisation
- Strategies: leftmost-outermost strategy, perpetual strategies
- Simple types in lambda calculus: strong normalization
- Intersection types in lambda calculus: complete characterisations of normalisations

Intersection types

There is no perfect world

In $\lambda \rightarrow$

 $\lambda x.xx:NO$

Intersection types

The abstract grammar that generates the language

$$\sigma ::= \alpha \mid \sigma \to \sigma \mid \sigma \cap \sigma \mid \omega$$

Subtyping is a pre-order on types

- 1. $\sigma \leq \sigma$
- **2**. $\sigma \le \tau$, $\tau \le \rho$, then $\sigma \le \rho$
- 3. $\sigma \leq \omega$
- **4**. $\sigma \cap \tau \leq \sigma$, $\sigma \cap \tau \leq \tau$
- 5. $\sigma \leq \sigma \cap \sigma$ (idempotent)
- 6. $\sigma \leq \sigma'$ and $\tau \leq \tau'$, then $\sigma \cap \tau \leq \sigma' \cap \tau'$
- 7. $\sigma' \leq \sigma$ and $\tau \leq \tau'$, then $\sigma \to \tau \leq \sigma' \to \tau'$
- **8**. $(\sigma \to \rho) \cap (\sigma \to \tau) \le \sigma \to \rho \cap \tau$

Type system

Axiom

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash x : \sigma} (Ax)$$

Rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \to \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma} (elim \to) \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.M : \sigma \to \tau} (intr \to)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \cap \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma} (elim \cap) \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \cap \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau} (elim \cap) \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \cap \tau} (intr \cap)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau} (\leq)$$

Intersection types

Introduced in the 1980s, to overcome the limitations of simple types

- Coppo, Dezani
- Pottinger
- Sallé
- Intersection types do not correspond to inuitionistic conjunction

$$\sigma \to \tau \to \sigma \cap \tau$$

intuitionistically provable, but not inhabited in $\lambda \cap$

$\lambda x.xx$ is typable, finally!

$$\frac{x:(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}{x:(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma \to \tau} \underbrace{(elim\cap) \qquad \qquad \frac{x:(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}{x:(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(elim\cap) \qquad \qquad \qquad }_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(elim\cap) \qquad \qquad }_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(elim\cap) \qquad \qquad }_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma \vdash x:\sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace{(\sigma \to \tau) \cap \sigma}_{\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad } \underbrace$$

Intersection types - SN

Complete characterization of strong normalization Theorem

M is typable \iff M is SN

Proof.

Typability \Longrightarrow SN

- reducibility method
- arithmetic proof
- non-idempotent intersection types

SN => Typability

- typability of normal forms
- head subject expansion, perpetual strategies
- ► Typability is undecidable

Intersection types - normalisation properties

Complete characterization of: normalizing, head normalizing, and unsovable terms.

Theorem

- ▶ M is normalising $\iff M$ is typable, $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma, \omega$ is not in Γ and σ
- ▶ *M* head normalising \iff *M* is typable, Γ \vdash *M* : σ , $\sigma \not\sim \omega$
- ▶ *M* is unsovable \iff *M* is typable, $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma, \sigma \sim \omega$

Proof.

Typability ⇒ (normalization property)

- reducibility method
- other proofs?

(normalization property) ⇒ Typability

head subject expansion, leftmost strategies, perpetual strategies

Union types

The abstract grammar that generates the language

$$\sigma ::= \alpha \mid \sigma \to \sigma \mid \sigma \cap \sigma \mid \sigma \cup \sigma$$

Rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \cup \tau} (intro \cup) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \cup \tau} (intro \cup)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \sigma \cup \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \rho \quad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash M : \rho}{\Gamma \vdash M[x := P] : \rho} (elim \cup)$$

Theorem

$$M$$
 is typable \iff M is SN

Problems with SR!

Intersection types - more

- Curry version implicit typing
- intersection as a proof theoretical connective (vs logical connectives)
- several proposals for the Church version explicit typing
- bounded polymorphism
- filter models
- Böhm trees

References



H.P. Barendregt, W. Dekkers, R. Statman

Lambda Calculus with Types

Cambridge University Press 2013



H.P. Barendregt, M. Coppo, M. Dezani-Ciancaglini

A filter lambda model and the completeness of type assignment Journal of Symbolic Logic 48(4):931–940, 1983



V. Bono, M. Dezani-Ciancaglini

A tale of intersection types

LICS 2020: 7-20, 2020



P. Downen, Z. M. Ariola, S. Ghilezan

The Duality of Classical Intersection and Union Types





Lambda-calcul, types et modèls, Masson 1990

PhD thesis, INRIA Sophia Antipolis, UNS 2019

Lambda calculus types and models, English translation



Claude Stolze

J.-L. Krivine

Union, intersection and dependent types in an explicitely typed lambda-calculus

Wrap up

- Rewrite systems
- Lambda calculus as a rewrite system
- Combintory logic as a rewrite system
- Confluence, normalisation, strong normalisation
- Standardisation, reduction strategies
- Simple types and strong normalisation
- Intersection types and normalisation properties

In lieu of conclusion

- → revisit
- → rethink
- → rewrite