

# Kleene Algebra with Tests

## Lecture 4

### Agenda

#### 1. What can we do with NetKAT

Review: NetKAT is a KAT with an interpreted action and test. The semantics assumes that there is one packet. Given a packet from the beginning, a regular expression transform the packet.

In the original NetKAT paper, there is another action called "dup/obs". One can use it like "sw $\in$ 2; dup; sw $\in$ 5", which specifies that the packet is sent to switch 2, then the state is recorded, and then the packet is sent to switch 5.

A use case could be: to enforce that all ssh packet do not go to switch 3, we can use dup to record the state history and test it.

### Operational Semantics

$$P \rightarrow 2^P$$

$$[f \leftarrow n](\pi) = \{\pi[n/f]\}$$

$$[f = n](\pi) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \pi(f) \neq n \\ \{\pi\} & \pi(f) = n \end{cases}$$

$$[e + e'](\pi) = [e](\pi) \cup [e'](\pi)$$

$$[e ; e'](\pi) = \bigcup_{\pi' \in [e](\pi)} [e'](\pi')$$

Note that in NetKAT, each test is only satisfied by one packet, as the test is performed on each field. Therefore, tests and packets are isomorphic.

## Axioms

Net KAT satisfies all axioms of KAT with the following additional packet axioms:

$$f \leftarrow n; f \leftarrow m \equiv f \leftarrow m$$

$$f \leftarrow n; g \leftarrow m \equiv g \leftarrow m; f \leftarrow n$$

$$f \leftarrow n; g = m \equiv g = m; f \leftarrow n$$

$$f \leftarrow n; f = n \equiv f \leftarrow n$$

$$f = n; f \leftarrow n \equiv f = n$$

$$\sum_{n \in \text{Val}} f = n \equiv 1$$

$$f = n; f = m \equiv 0$$

$$f = n; \text{dup} \equiv \text{dup}; f = n$$

With these axioms, we can prove

$$[\![e]\!] = [\![f]\!] \Leftrightarrow e \equiv f$$

Net KAT has an automaton model that gives an efficient decision procedure for equivalence. The automaton model also has a compiler that compiles to openflow, pt and BDDs. Most recently, this automaton model has been shown to compile to SPPs (BDD on steroids).

## Network Modeling

Let's see how to use NetKAT to model a network and check properties.

A switch can be described using match-action table, e.g.

Condition	action
type=ssh	drop
dst = 3	$pt \leftarrow 5 + pt \leftarrow 3$

Such table is translated to NetKAT expression

$$(sw=k) \cdot \sum_e t_e; a_e$$

which is the sum of conditions concatenated with actions.

Note that this is a simplified version. In the real one, the test is a conjunction of negation of prior conditions and the current condition. This implies that the order in the table matters.

A link from switch k, port 1 to switch j, port 2 can be described by

$$\text{link}_{kj} \triangleq sw=k; pt=1; sw \leftarrow j; pt \leftarrow 2$$

Now, we can combine network topology and switch behavior to form the network.

$$\text{top} \triangleq \sum_{\text{RELink}} l$$

$$\text{Switch} \triangleq \sum_{S \in \text{SW}} S$$

$$N \triangleq (\text{top}; \text{switch})^*$$

Application: reachability

Is B reachable from A?

We can test by checking whether the following holds:

$$sw=A; top; (switch; top)^*; sw=B \equiv 0$$

This expression tries out all paths from A to B; if it is equivalent to the emptyset, it means that it's not reachable.

This is called emptiness test, which can be implemented extremely efficiently (100x faster than the baseline).

Application: forwarding Loop

Can a packet come back to where it has been?

We test whether the following holds:

$$d; (top; switch); (top; switch)^*; d \equiv 0$$

Since we can't run on all possible d, we choose a predicate over which port/switch a packet can come in:

$$in; (top; switch); (top; switch)^*; in \equiv 0$$

Application: Access control

See slides.