

Algebraic Effects and Handlers

Ningning Xie

University of Toronto
OPLSS 2025

1. Algebraic effects

1. Algebraic effects

1.3. Generic effects

Generalizing one boolean location

Recall: one boolean location

signatures: $put_t : 1$, $put_f : 1$, $get : 2$

examples: $put_t(m)$, $put_f(n)$, $get(m, n)$

Here is a question:

How to generalize it to locations which can store natural numbers?

Parameterized operations

locations of natural numbers

signatures: $update : loc \times nat \rightsquigarrow 1$, $lookup : loc \rightsquigarrow nat$

- Read *update* as “put *nat* to *loc*, and continue with the single continuation”.
- Read *lookup* as “get the value from *loc*, and carry on with a continuation depending on the value read”.

examples:

- $update((l, 42), m)$

Parameterized operations

locations of natural numbers

signatures: $update : loc \times nat \rightsquigarrow 1$, $lookup : loc \rightsquigarrow nat$

- Read *update* as “put *nat* to *loc*, and continue with the single continuation”.
- Read *lookup* as “get the value from *loc*, and carry on with a continuation depending on the value read”.

examples:

- $update((l, 42), m)$
- $lookup(l, m1, \dots)$ — an infinitary operation!

Parameterized arguments

locations of natural numbers

signatures: $update : loc \times nat \rightsquigarrow 1$, $lookup : loc \rightsquigarrow nat$

- Read *update* as “put *nat* to *loc*, and continue with the single continuation”.
- Read *lookup* as “get the value from *loc*, and carry on with a continuation depending on the value read”.

examples:

- $update((l, 42), \lambda x : 1. m)$
- $lookup(l, \lambda x : nat. m)$ — with parameterized arguments

Parameterized arguments

locations of natural numbers

signatures: $update : loc \times nat \rightsquigarrow 1$, $lookup : loc \rightsquigarrow nat$

- Read *update* as “put *nat* to *loc*, and continue with the single continuation”.
- Read *lookup* as “get the value from *loc*, and carry on with a continuation depending on the value read”.

examples:

- $update((l, 42), \lambda x : 1. m)$
- $lookup(l, \lambda x : nat. m)$ — with parameterized arguments

axioms:

- $update((l, m), update((l, n), p)) = update((l, n), p)$
- $lookup(l, \lambda x. lookup(l, \lambda y. f(x, y))) = lookup(l, \lambda x. f(x, x))$
-

Programming counterpart of algebraicity:

Evaluation context $E ::= \square \mid E \ n \mid (\lambda x. \ m) \ E$

For any operation $op : p \rightsquigarrow n$, we have:

$$E[op(p, \lambda x : n. \ m)] = op(p, \lambda x : n. \ E[m])$$

Programming counterpart of algebraicity:

Evaluation context $E ::= \square \mid E \ n \mid (\lambda x. m) \ E$

For any operation $op : p \rightsquigarrow n$, we have:

$$E[op(p, \lambda x : n. m)] = op(p, \lambda x : n. E[m])$$

Example

- $lookup(l, \lambda x. m) \ n = lookup(l, \lambda x. m \ n)$
- $(\lambda y. m') \ lookup(l, \lambda x. m) = lookup(l, \lambda x. (\lambda y. m') \ m)$

Generic effects

algebraic operations

signatures: $update : loc \times nat \rightsquigarrow 1$, $lookup : loc \rightsquigarrow nat$

examples:

- $update((l, 42), \lambda x : 1. m)$
- $lookup(l, \lambda x : nat. m)$

Generic effects

algebraic operations

signatures: $update : loc \times nat \rightsquigarrow 1$, $lookup : loc \rightsquigarrow nat$

examples:

- $update((l, 42), \lambda x : 1. m)$
- $lookup(l, \lambda x : nat. m)$

generic effects

$$\frac{m : loc \times nat}{gen_update\ m : 1}$$

$$\frac{n : loc}{gen_lookup\ n : nat}$$

examples:

- $gen_update((l, 42)) : 1$
- $gen_lookup(l) : nat$

Generic effects

algebraic operations

signatures: $update : loc \times nat \rightsquigarrow 1$, $lookup : loc \rightsquigarrow nat$

examples:

- $update((l, 42), \lambda x : 1. m)$
- $lookup(l, \lambda x : nat. m)$

generic effects

$$\frac{m : loc \times nat}{gen_update\ m : 1}$$

$$\frac{n : loc}{gen_lookup\ n : nat}$$

examples:

- $gen_update((l, 42)) : 1$
- $gen_lookup(l) : nat$

Question: are they equivalent?

algebraic operations

generic effects

$gen_update(l, 42) = update((l, 42), \lambda x. x)$
 $update((l, 42), \lambda x. m) = \text{let } x = gen_update((l, 42)) \text{ in } m$

algebraic operations

generic effects

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gen_update}(l, 42) &= \text{update}((l, 42), \lambda x. x) \\ \text{update}((l, 42), \lambda x. m) &= \text{let } x = \text{gen_update}((l, 42)) \text{ in } m \end{aligned}$$



Applied Categorical Structures **11**: 69–94, 2003.

© 2003 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

69

Algebraic Operations and Generic Effects

GORDON PLOTKIN and JOHN POWER[★]

*School of Informatics, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ,
Scotland, U.K.*

Abstract. Given a complete and cocomplete symmetric monoidal closed category V and a symmetric monoidal V -category C with cotensors and a strong V -monad T on C , we investigate axioms under which an $Ob C$ -indexed family of operations of the form $\alpha_x : (Tx)^v \rightarrow (Tx)^w$ provides semantics for algebraic operations on the computational λ -calculus. We recall a definition for which we have elsewhere given adequacy results, and we show that an enrichment of it is equivalent to a

A small calculus

expressions

$e ::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } e$

$v ::= c \mid \lambda x. e$

evaluation context $E ::= \square \mid E e \mid v E \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } E$

reduction

$(\lambda x. e) v \longrightarrow e[x := v]$

$\text{if True then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_2$

$\text{if False then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_3$

An example program

effect signature

$choose : () \rightsquigarrow Bool$ $fail : () \rightsquigarrow a$

drunk coin tossing

$toss () = \text{if } choose () \text{ then } Heads \text{ else } Tails$

An example program

effect signature

choose : () $\rightsquigarrow$ *Bool* *fail* : () $\rightsquigarrow$ *a*

drunk coin tossing

toss () = **if** *choose* () **then** *Heads* **else** *Tails*

drunkToss () = **if** *choose* () **then**
 if *choose* () **then** *Heads* **else** *Tails*
 else *fail* ()

How to **deconstruct** effect operations?

An example program

effect signature

choose : () $\rightsquigarrow$ *Bool* *fail* : () $\rightsquigarrow$ *a*

drunk coin tossing

toss () = **if** *choose* () **then** *Heads* **else** *Tails*

drunkToss () = **if** *choose* () **then**
 if *choose* () **then** *Heads* **else** *Tails*
 else *fail* ()

How to **deconstruct** effect operations?

Next:

- effect handlers

2. Effect handlers

2. Effect handlers

2.1. Introductions

What is an effect handler?

- Effect “destructors”
- A (modular) [interpretation](#) of effect operations
- [Resumable](#) exception handlers
- A [fold](#) over the computational tree
- Structured [delimited control operator](#)
- A morphism between (free) algebras

handle $\{ \textit{op} \mapsto \lambda x. k. e_1, \textit{return} \mapsto \lambda x. e_2 \} e$

- e : the computation being handled

handle $\{ \textit{op} \mapsto \lambda x\ k.\ e_1, \textit{return} \mapsto \lambda x.\ e_2 \}$ e

- e : the computation being handled
- $\textit{op} \mapsto \lambda x\ k.\ e_1$: handles the operation $\textit{op}$
 - x binds the operation argument
 - k binds the delimited continuation
 - evaluates e_1

handle $\{op \mapsto \lambda x\ k.\ e_1, return \mapsto \lambda x.\ e_2\}\ e$

- e : the computation being handled
- $op \mapsto \lambda x\ k.\ e_1$: handles the operation op
 - x binds the operation argument
 - k binds the delimited continuation
 - evaluates e_1
- $return \mapsto \lambda x.\ e_2$: applies when the computation returns a value
 - x binds the value
 - evaluates e_2

What's the delimited continuation?

The `delimited continuation` captures

- from where the operation is performed
- to where the handler is in the evaluation context

What's the delimited continuation?

The delimited continuation captures

- from where the operation is performed
- to where the handler is in the evaluation context

delimited continuation

$\overbrace{\text{handle } h \ E} \text{ } [op \ v] \quad \text{where } op \# E$

What's the delimited continuation?

The delimited continuation captures

- from where the operation is performed
- to where the handler is in the evaluation context

delimited continuation

$\overbrace{\text{handle } h \ E} \text{ } [op \ v] \quad \text{where } op \# E$

- x binds v
- k binds ???

What's the delimited continuation?

The delimited continuation captures

- from where the operation is performed
- to where the handler is in the evaluation context

delimited continuation

$\overbrace{\text{handle } h \ E} \text{ } [op \ v] \quad \text{where } op \# E$

- x binds v
- k binds ??? $\lambda x. \text{handle } h \ E[x]$

Examples

effect handler

$maybeFail = \{$
 $fail \mapsto \lambda x. k. \mathbf{Nothing},$
 $return \mapsto \lambda x. \mathbf{Just} \ x \}$

examples

$\mathbf{handle} \ maybeFail \ 42 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Just} \ 42$

$\mathbf{handle} \ maybeFail \ (fail \ ()) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Nothing}$

Examples

effect handler

maybeFail = {
 fail $\mapsto \lambda x\ k. \mathbf{Nothing}$,
 return $\mapsto \lambda x. \mathbf{Just}\ x$ }

trueChoice = {
 choose $\mapsto \lambda x\ k. k\ \mathbf{True}$,
 return $\mapsto \lambda x. x$ }

examples

handle *maybeFail* 42 $\longrightarrow \mathbf{Just}\ 42$
handle *maybeFail* (*fail* ()) $\longrightarrow \mathbf{Nothing}$

handle *trueChoice* 42 $\longrightarrow 42$
handle *trueChoice* (*toss* ()) $\longrightarrow \mathit{Heads}$

Examples

effect handler

maybeFail = {
 fail $\mapsto \lambda x\ k.$ **Nothing** ,
 return $\mapsto \lambda x.$ **Just** *x* }

trueChoice = {
 choose $\mapsto \lambda x\ k.$ *k* **True**,
 return $\mapsto \lambda x.$ *x* }

allChoices = {
 choose $\mapsto \lambda x\ k.$ *k* **True** ++ *k* **False**,
 return $\mapsto \lambda x.$ [*x*] }

examples

handle *maybeFail* 42 $\longrightarrow$ **Just** 42
handle *maybeFail* (*fail* ()) $\longrightarrow$ **Nothing**

handle *trueChoice* 42 $\longrightarrow$ 42
handle *trueChoice* (*toss* ()) $\longrightarrow$ *Heads*

handle *allChoices* 42 $\longrightarrow$ [42]
handle *allChoices* (*toss* ()) $\longrightarrow$ [*Heads*, *Tails*]

Handler composition

effect composition

```
drunkToss () = if choose () then  
               if choose () then Heads else Tails  
               else fail()
```

handler composition

```
handle allChoices (handle maybeFail (drunkToss ()))  
  → [Just Heads, Just Tails, Nothing]
```

Handler composition

effect composition

```
drunkToss () = if choose () then  
                if choose () then Heads else Tails  
                else fail()
```

handler composition

```
handle allChoices (handle maybeFail (drunkToss ()))  
    → [Just Heads, Just Tails, Nothing ]
```

```
handle maybeFail (handle allChoices (drunkToss ())) → Nothing
```

A small calculus

expressions

$e ::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } e$

$v ::= c \mid \lambda x. e$

evaluation context $E ::= \square \mid E e \mid v E \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } E$

reduction

$(\lambda x. e) v \longrightarrow e[x := v]$

$\text{if True then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_2$

$\text{if False then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_3$

A small calculus

expressions

$e ::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } e \mid \text{handle } h e$

$v ::= c \mid \lambda x. e$

$h ::= \{\text{op} \mapsto \lambda x k. e_1, \text{return} \mapsto \lambda x. e_2\}$

evaluation context $E ::= \square \mid E e \mid v E \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } E$

reduction

$(\lambda x. e) v \longrightarrow e[x := v]$

$\text{if True then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_2$

$\text{if False then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_3$

A small calculus

expressions

$e ::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } e \mid \text{handle } h e$

$v ::= c \mid \lambda x. e$

$h ::= \{\text{op} \mapsto \lambda x k. e_1, \text{return} \mapsto \lambda x. e_2\}$

evaluation context $E ::= \square \mid E e \mid v E \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } E \mid \text{handle } h E$

reduction

$(\lambda x. e) v \longrightarrow e[x := v]$

$\text{if True then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_2$

$\text{if False then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_3$

A small calculus

expressions

$e ::= c \mid x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 \ e_2 \mid \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } e \mid \text{handle } h \ e$

$v ::= c \mid \lambda x. e$

$h ::= \{\text{op} \mapsto \lambda x \ k. \ e_1, \text{return} \mapsto \lambda x. \ e_2\}$

evaluation context $E ::= \square \mid E \ e \mid v \ E \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid \text{op } E \mid \text{handle } h \ E$

reduction

$(\lambda x. e) \ v \longrightarrow e[x := v]$

$\text{if True then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_2$

$\text{if False then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \longrightarrow e_3$

$\text{handle } h \ v \longrightarrow f \ v$ where $\text{return} \mapsto f \in h$

$\text{handle } h \ E[\text{op } v] \longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. \text{handle } h \ E[x])$ where $\text{op} \mapsto f \in h, \text{op} \# E$

Example: lightweight cooperative threads

effect signature

$yield : () \rightsquigarrow ()$ $fork : (() \rightarrow ()) \rightsquigarrow ()$

$scheduler\ f = \mathbf{handle}\ \{\$
 $yield \mapsto \lambda x\ k. enqueue\ k; next \leftarrow dequeue\ (); next\ (),$
 $fork \mapsto \lambda g\ k. enqueue\ k; scheduler\ g,$
 $return \mapsto \lambda _. next \leftarrow dequeue\ (); next\ ()\ \}\ (f\ ())$

Example: lightweight cooperative threads

effect signature

$yield : () \rightsquigarrow ()$ $fork : (() \rightarrow ()) \rightsquigarrow ()$

$scheduler\ f = \mathbf{handle}\ \{$

$\quad yield \mapsto \lambda x\ k. enqueue\ k; next \leftarrow dequeue\ (); next\ (),$

$\quad fork \mapsto \lambda g\ k. enqueue\ k; scheduler\ g,$

$\quad return \mapsto \lambda_. next \leftarrow dequeue\ (); next\ ()\ \} (f\ ())$

$scheduler\ (\lambda_.\ \text{print } "A"; fork\ (\lambda_.\ \text{print } "B"; yield\ (); \text{print } "E");$
 $\quad \text{print } "C"; fork\ (\lambda_.\ \text{print } "D"; yield\ (); \text{print } "G"); \text{print } "F")$

Question: What will be printed?

deep handlers

handle h $E[\textit{op } v] \longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. \textbf{handle } h \ E[x])$ where $\textit{op} \mapsto f \in h, \textit{op} \# E$

Operational semantics zoo

deep handlers

handle h $E[op \ v] \longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. \text{handle } h \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

shallow handlers

handle h $E[op \ v] \longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

Operational semantics zoo

deep handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. \text{handle } h \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

shallow handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

sheep handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda h'. \lambda x. \text{handle } h' \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

- like shallow handlers: the original handler need not be installed
- like deep handlers: a handler (not necessarily the original one) must be installed

Operational semantics zoo

deep handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. \text{handle } h \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

shallow handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

sheep handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda h'. \lambda x. \text{handle } h' \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

- like shallow handlers: the original handler need not be installed
- like deep handlers: a handler (not necessarily the original one) must be installed

parameterized handlers

handle $h \ s \ E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ s \ v \ (\lambda s' \ x. \text{handle } h \ s' \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

Operational semantics zoo

deep handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. \text{handle } h \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

shallow handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda x. E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

sheep handlers

handle h $E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ v \ (\lambda h'. \lambda x. \text{handle } h' \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

- like shallow handlers: the original handler need not be installed
- like deep handlers: a handler (not necessarily the original one) must be installed

parameterized handlers (exercise: express parameterized handlers as deep handlers)

handle $h \ s \ E[op \ v]$ $\longrightarrow f \ s \ v \ (\lambda s' \ x. \text{handle } h \ s' \ E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h, op \# E$

Example: shallow handlers

A classic demand-driven Unix pipeline operator [Hillerstrom and Lindley]

$$\text{pipe}(p, c) = \mathbf{handle} \{ \text{Await} \mapsto \lambda x \ k. \text{copipe}(k, p) \} (c \ ())$$
$$\text{copipe}(c, p) = \mathbf{handle} \{ \text{Yield} \mapsto \lambda x \ k. \text{pipe}(k, \lambda _ . c \ x) \} (p \ ())$$

Example: shallow handlers

A classic demand-driven Unix pipeline operator [Hillerstrom and Lindley]

$$\text{pipe}(p, c) = \mathbf{handle} \{ \text{Await} \mapsto \lambda x \ k. \text{copipe}(k, p) \} (c \ ())$$
$$\text{copipe}(c, p) = \mathbf{handle} \{ \text{Yield} \mapsto \lambda x \ k. \text{pipe}(k, \lambda_. c \ x) \} (p \ ())$$

As an example:

$$\text{ones} = \lambda_. \text{Yield } 1; \text{ones } ()$$
$$\text{pipe}(\text{ones}, \lambda_. \text{Await } ())$$

Operational semantics zoo (cont'd)

Question: what if I want my operation to be handled by the second innermost handler?

Operational semantics zoo (cont'd)

Question: what if I want my operation to be handled by the second innermost handler?

masking (also known as lifting)

expressions $e := \dots \mid \text{lift}[\text{op}] e$

handle $h E[\text{op } v] \longrightarrow f v (\lambda x. \text{handle } h E[x])$ where $\text{op} \mapsto f \in h$, $0\text{-free}(\text{op}, E)$

$$\frac{}{0\text{-free}(\text{op}, \square)} \quad \frac{n\text{-free}(\text{op}, E)}{n + 1\text{-free}(\text{op}, \text{lift}[\text{op}] E)} \quad \frac{n + 1\text{-free}(\text{op}, E)}{n\text{-free}(\text{op}, \text{handle } \{\text{op} \mapsto e\} E)}$$

As an example:

$???\text{-free}(\text{op}, \text{handle } \{\text{op} \mapsto e\} (\text{lift}[\text{op}] \square))$

Named handlers

named handlers [Xie et al. 2022]

handler $h (\lambda x. e) \longrightarrow \mathbf{handle}_\ell h (e [x := \ell])$ fresh ℓ

handle $_\ell h E[op \ell v] \longrightarrow f v (\lambda x. \mathbf{handle}_\ell h E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h$

Named handlers

named handlers [Xie et al. 2022]

handler $h (\lambda x. e) \longrightarrow \mathbf{handle}_\ell h (e [x := \ell])$ fresh ℓ

handle $_\ell h E[op \ell v] \longrightarrow f v (\lambda x. \mathbf{handle}_\ell h E[x])$ where $op \mapsto f \in h$

As an example:

$read\ x = \{Read \mapsto \lambda_. k. k\ x\}$

handler ($read\ 21$) ($\lambda y. \mathbf{handler}\ (read\ 42)\ (\lambda z. (Read\ y\ ()) + (Read\ z\ ())))$